

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,940	C	05/04/2001	Todd W. Herrick	C-472/TEC1154 8491	
832	7590	03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER &		_	ABRAMS, NEIL		
111 E. WAY SUITE 800	111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800				PAPER NUMBER
FORT WAY	NE, IN	46802	2839		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/848,940	HERRICK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Neil Abrams	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
Period for Reply	N V IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONT	·U/6/ EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi ute, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communic DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	January 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr)
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	98) 5) D Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940

Art Unit: 2839

4 - - 4

Claims 1-3, 6, 21-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paterek.

Paterek system figs. 1, 2 is to sealed housing for motor/compressor, terminal assembly 3, cluster block 8, pins 7, cavity 12 between the body and cluster block and a dielectric 10 filling the cavity 12 which is to be closed on full mating.

Claim 3, cavity 12'is closed; claim 6, material 10 is a polymer. Other claims are similarly met by Paterek.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paterek in view of Elbling, Iizuka, Katoh, Onoda, Okabe and Mattis.

While for claims 1-3, etc above rejection adequate, should issues arise use of sealed housing for motor and compressor also taught by lizuka, see fig. 10. Obvious to form Paterek housing 4 such a manner.

For claim 1, material filling the cavity Paterek fig. 2 includes a dielectric coating material 120 that fills the cavity between the terminal body (cup) and the cluster block 14. Elbling added for further depiction at 34 of types of materials useable for coating 10. Also note that after full mating the coating 10 would fill substantially all of the space 12 between the mated connectors. Note that coating 10 is readable as a separate material and would be applied to the terminal assembly by molding (in liquid form and cured as in case of Elbling.

As an alternative basis for claim 1, exception line 14 also obvious to fill space 12 with fluid epoxy in view Onoda, fig. 6 at 140, the material to be cured and solidified col. 1 line 58. Mattis shows other material usable in such combination. The epoxy or

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940

Art Unit: 2839

gel use would prevent leakage into out of the case. Onoda equipment use is considered analogous to Paterek compressor use. Epoxy together with or in place of gaskets 21, 22 would provide a high degree of protection.

Above rejections apply to all of claims 2-27.

As another alternative, for claims 1, 9, 16, 21 also obvious to provide Paterek cluster block 8 with epoxy, grease or gel in view of Okabe at 5, as well as Katoh and Mattis, see fig. 2b, gel filled plug module 16.

For Okabe, connector is of general use and the epoxies 36 or 5 are to be solidified. For claim 1, the Okabe space filled by epoxy 5 or 36 is readable as all of free space since other spaces are occupied by contacts. For claims 9, 16, 21, only requirement is that some cluster block spaces be filled by epoxy.

Use of grease Katoh, or gel, Mattis also adequate. Any of these or Okabe epoxy would provide waterproofing and corrosion prevention.

These are all of advantage in Paterek type system.

For claim 16, limitations are readable on use of Paterek coating 10 together with epoxy filled cluster block as discussed above or on epoxy filled cluster block with filled terminal assembly as suggested by Onoda at 140, etc.

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment and pertinent to above rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. ***.

Use of Paterek O-rings show importance of sealing. Onoda at resin 140 discloses another way to provide sealing. For the "dielectric in the cluster block" alternative, Okabe is most pertinent, but Mattis and Katoh all are clearly applicable to

Application/Control Number: 09/848,940

Art Unit: 2839

other type plug connector where corrosion prevention would be a factor. For Katoh, col.

3, lines 54-56 seems to only refer removal of the grease layer at the contact abutment areas hence is not critical. For claim 4, Paterik spaces at 9, fig. 1 provide means for ingress greens of material. Dependent claim do not appear to be specifically at issue and are treated together with parent claims. Note that claims 1, 9, 21 require dielectric in the cavity or in the cluster block hence material 10 of Paterek is adequate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abrams Neil at telephone number (571)272-2089.

NEIL ABRAMS
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 322

Page 4